

# A Characterization of de Morgan Algebras \*

J. A. Brzozowski

Department of Computer Science

University of Waterloo

Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1

brzozo@uwaterloo.ca

May 29, 2007

## Abstract

In this note we show that every de Morgan algebra is isomorphic to a *two-subset algebra*,  $\langle P, \sqcup, \sqcap, \sim, 0_P, 1_P \rangle$ , where  $P$  is a set of pairs  $(X, Y)$  of subsets of a set  $I$ ,  $(X, Y) \sqcup (X', Y') = (X \cap X', Y \cup Y')$ ,  $(X, Y) \sqcap (X', Y') = (X \cup X', Y \cap Y')$ ,  $\sim (X, Y) = (Y, X)$ ,  $1_P = (\emptyset, I)$ , and  $0_P = (I, \emptyset)$ . This characterization generalizes a previous result that applied only to a special type of de Morgan algebras called ternary algebras.

## 1 Introduction

In 1935 Moisil [7] studied *de Morgan lattices*, which are distributive lattices with 0 and 1, and a unary operation  $\bar{\phantom{x}}$  that satisfies  $\overline{\overline{x}} = x$  and de Morgan's

---

\*This research was supported by Grant No. OGP0000871 from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

laws. In 1957 Białynicki-Birula and Rasiowa studied these lattices under the name *quasi-Boolean algebra* [2], and used the term *quasi-complement* for the unary operation. They showed that every quasi-Boolean algebra is isomorphic to a *quasi-field* of sets, which is a ring of sets with a complicated quasi-complementation that is *not* set complementation in general. The construction uses the well-known theorem of Birkhoff that every distributive lattice is isomorphic to a ring of sets (see, for example [3]: Corollary 2, p. 194, [1]: Theorem 3, p. 63, [8]: 2.3 p. 44.) Quasi-Boolean algebras were also discussed in [8], and in [1] under the name *de Morgan algebras*. We use the latter term for these objects. Thus, a de Morgan algebra is an algebra  $\langle S, +, *, \bar{\phantom{x}}, 0, 1 \rangle$ , where  $\langle S, +, *, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a distributive lattice with 0 and 1, and  $\bar{\phantom{x}}$  is a unary operation, called *quasi-complement*, that satisfies  $\bar{\bar{x}} = x$  and de Morgan's laws.

A *ternary algebra* is a de Morgan algebra with an additional constant  $\Phi$  satisfying  $\Phi = \bar{\Phi}$ ,  $(x + \bar{x}) + \Phi = x + \bar{x}$ , and  $(x * \bar{x}) * \Phi = x * \bar{x}$ . Ternary algebras have applications in computer science; see, for example [5]. Brzozowski, Lou, and Negulescu [4] characterized finite ternary algebras in terms of subset-pair algebras defined below. This characterization was extended to the infinite case by Ésik, who proved the result by also using the representation of distributive lattices by rings of sets [6]. With a slight modification of the ideas of [6], we obtain a characterization of de Morgan algebras.

## 2 Two-Subset Algebras

Let  $I$  be a non-empty set, and let  $P$  be a set of ordered pairs  $(X, Y)$  of subsets of  $I$ . We call  $P$  a *two-subset algebra* if it is closed under the operations  $\sqcup$ ,  $\sqcap$ , and  $\sim$  defined below, and if  $1_P = (\emptyset, I)$  and  $0_P = (I, \emptyset)$  are in  $P$ .

$$(X, Y) \sqcup (X', Y') = (X \cap X', Y \cup Y'),$$

$$(X, Y) \sqcap (X', Y') = (X \cup X', Y \cap Y'),$$

$$\sim (X, Y) = (Y, X).$$

A restricted version of two-subset algebra was introduced in [4] under the name of *subset-pair algebra*. There, it was also required that the subsets satisfy  $X \cup Y = I$  and that there be a third constant  $(I, I)$  in  $P$ .

The following is easily verified:

**Proposition.** *Every two-subset algebra is a de Morgan algebra.*

### 3 A Characterization of de Morgan Algebras

Given a de Morgan algebra  $\langle S, +, *, \bar{\phantom{x}}, 0, 1 \rangle$ , we construct a two-subset algebra  $\langle P, \sqcup, \sqcap, \sim, 0_P, 1_P \rangle$  as follows.

Since  $S$  is a distributive lattice, it can be embedded in a ring of sets. Thus there exists a set  $I$  such that  $\langle S, +, *, 0, 1 \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $\langle X, \cap, \cup, I, \emptyset \rangle$ , where  $X$  is a set of subsets of  $I$ . Here  $+$  corresponds to set intersection and  $*$ , to set union. If  $s \in S$ , let  $\sigma(s)$  denote the set assigned to  $s$  by the embedding. In general,  $X$  is not closed under set complementation.

In a de Morgan algebra, the assignment  $x \mapsto \bar{x}$  satisfies  $x \leq y$  iff  $\bar{x} \geq \bar{y}$ , where  $\leq$  is the usual partial order defined by  $x \leq y$  iff  $x + y = y$  iff  $x * y = x$ . Thus the assignment  $x \mapsto \bar{x}$  is an antiautomorphism of  $S$ . Note that  $x + y = z$  iff  $\bar{x} * \bar{y} = \bar{z}$  and  $x * y = z$  iff  $\bar{x} + \bar{y} = \bar{z}$ , because of de Morgan's laws. Given an embedding of a de Morgan algebra into a ring of sets, we now define a second embedding by associating with  $s \in S$  the set  $\sigma(\bar{s})$ . Now  $\langle S, +, *, 0, 1 \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $\langle X, \cup, \cap, \emptyset, I \rangle$ . Here  $+$  corresponds to set union and  $*$ , to intersection.

It follows that we can also use a double embedding for any de Morgan algebra, where each element  $s \in S$  corresponds to an ordered pair  $(\sigma(s), \sigma(\bar{s}))$  of subsets of  $I$ . We have the following properties of the double embedding. For all  $x, y, z \in S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x + y = z &\text{ iff } \sigma(x) \cap \sigma(y) = \sigma(z), & x * y = z &\text{ iff } \sigma(x) \cup \sigma(y) = \sigma(z), \\ x + y = z &\text{ iff } \sigma(\bar{x}) \cup \sigma(\bar{y}) = \sigma(\bar{z}), & x * y = z &\text{ iff } \sigma(\bar{x}) \cap \sigma(\bar{y}) = \sigma(\bar{z}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{x} = y \text{ iff } (\sigma(x), \sigma(\bar{x})) = (\sigma(\bar{y}), \sigma(y)).$$

The following is now evident:

**Theorem.** *Every de Morgan algebra is isomorphic to a two-subset algebra.*

## References

- [1] R. Balbes and P. Dwinger, *Distributive Lattices*, University of Missouri Press, 1974.
- [2] A. Białynicki-Birula and H. Rasiowa, *On the representation of quasi-Boolean algebras*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **5** (1957), 259–261.
- [3] G. B. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 25, third edition, 1967.
- [4] J. A. Brzozowski, J. J. Lou, and R. Negulescu, *A Characterization of finite ternary algebras*, Int. J. of Algebra and Comput. **7**(6) (1997), 713–721.
- [5] J. A. Brzozowski and C-J. H. Seger, *Asynchronous Circuits*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [6] Z. Ésik, *A Cayley theorem for ternary algebras*, Int. J. of Algebra and Comput. **8**(3) (1998), 311–316.
- [7] G. C. Moisil, *Recherches sur l’algèbre de la logique*, Annales scientifiques de l’université de Jassy **22** (1935), 1–117.
- [8] H. Rasiowa, *An Algebraic Approach to Non-Classical Logics*, Studies in Logic and the Foundation of Mathematics, Vol. 78, North-Holland Publ. Co., 1974.